

No. 4472-6PP-76/20154.--Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is likely to be needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for construction of Tourist Complex at Bhiwani, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality specified below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers with their servants and workmen, for the time being engaged in the undertaking, to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may, within a period of thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection, if any, in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector (S. D. O.) "C", Bhiwani, district Bhiwani.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tahsil	Locality	Area	Description of area	Remarks
			A. K. M. 34 2 17	Khasra No.	K. M.
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Bhiwani Lohar		800	68-5
				801	12-18
				802	1-4
				802/2	0-5
				803/1	0-17
				803/2	9-11
				804	2-8
				805	2-9
				806	3-4
				807	7-8
				808/1	15-10
				809	0-18
				810	0-18
				811	0-4
				813	0-14
				814	0-13
				815	0-11
				816	1-3
				817	1-7
				818	0-9
				819	0-9
				820	0-4
				821	0-11
				822	0-11
				823	0-16
				824	0-13
				825	7-5
				826	7-18
				827	0-9
				828	1-19
				829	1-13
				830	7-4
				831	2-6

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area	Description of area	Remarks
				Khasra No.	K. M.
Bhiwani	conold	Bhiwani	conold	Bhiwani Lohar	
				832	2-14
				833	2-10
				834	2-7
				835	2-14
				836	12-9
				837	11-8
				838	2-3
				839	2-9
				840	25-0
				841	13-18
				842 min	5-3
				845	30-3
				Total	274 17
					A. K. M.
					34 2 17

ASHOK PAHWA,
Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Tourism Department.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

WAYS AND MEANS

The 28th June, 1976

No. 4477-3WM-76 24379.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 283(2) of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that during the year 1976-77, the rates of interest at which the Haryana Government will grant loans out of the State Loans and Advances Account under the State Aid to Industries Act, shall, unless otherwise specified in any particular case, be as under :—

- (i) Loans up to Rs 25,000 9% per annum
- (ii) Loan above Rs 25,000 and up to Rs 50,000 11% per annum

However, if the repayment of the principal and the payment of interest is made promptly and on due date by the loanee and provided that the Department is satisfied that the loan is being applied or has been applied for the purpose for which it was granted and that the conditions on which the loan was granted are being duly fulfilled, the interest shall be charged at 5% and 7% respectively per annum by allowing a rebate of 4%.

A. BANERJEE,
Commissioner & Secretary to Government Haryana,
Finance Department.

HARYANA (LOTTERIES) DEPARTMENT

The 14th July, 1976

No. DOL/HR/75/10995.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to make the following Rules for the conduct of Mini Draw of the Haryana State Lotteries during the currency of the 81st Draw, namely:—

- These Rules may be called the Rules for the conduct of Mini Draw during the currency of 81st Draw of Haryana State Lotteries.
- There shall be one Mini Draw of Haryana State Lotteries on Tuesday, the 20th July, 1976, with the following prizes:—

1st Prize: (1) Ambassador Car or Rs 35,000 down cash (Common to all Series).

2nd Prize (1) Rs. 2,000 (Common to all Series)

3rd Prize (90) Rs. 25 each (Eighteen numbers to be drawn applicable to all Series)

3. All tickets of the 81st Draw sold prior to the date of the Draw shall be included in the Mini Draw and shall again be eligible for prizes during the Final Draw to be held on 30th July, 1976.

4. A ticket will be entitled to all the prizes drawn against it both in Mini and Final Draw.

5. The procedure of the Draw shall be the same as prescribed for a Regular Draw. The Mini Draw will be held in the presence of Judges.

S. L. DHANI,

Director of Lotteries and Deputy Secretary
to Government, Haryana,
Finance Department, Chandigarh.

HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 19th July, 1976

No. DOL/HR/76/12013.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the Mini Draw during the course of 81st Draw to be held at Charkhi-Dadri on Tuesday, the 20th July, 1976 :—

1. Shri M. P. Gupta,
Sub Divisional Officer (Civil)
Charkhi-Dadri.
2. Ch. Daya Nand,
Advocate & President Bar Association,
Charkhi-Dadri.
3. Shri B. R. Dua,
Executive Engineer,
Loharu Canal Division,
Charkhi-Dadri.

S. L. DHANI,

Director of Lotteries and Deputy Secretary
to Government Haryana,
Finance Department, Chandigarh.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 16th July, 1976

No. 6819-4Lab-76/19930.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sharco Industries (P) Ltd., 12/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 86 of 1974

between

SHRI OM PARKASH SHARMA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S
SHARCO INDUSTRIES, (P) Ltd., 12/6, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/73/131/70508-12 dated 15th July, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/S Shroco Industries (P) Ltd., Faridabad and its Workman Shri Om Parkash Sharma, was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash Sharma was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The parties put in their appearance in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them in this Tribunal and filed their pleadings giving rise to the following issues framed—*vide* order dated 13th December, 1974 :

1. Whether the demand, the subject matter of the reference was first raised on the management and rejected by it before taking up the matter for conciliation ? If not, with what effect ?
2. Whether it is a case of self-abandonment of service by the workman ?
3. Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash Sharma was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The case was fixed for recording the evidence of the management on 24th June, 1976 when the parties filed a written settlement Ex. M. 1 arrived at between them in respect of the dispute as referred to this Tribunal and made their statements affirming its correctness.

Shri Om Parkash Sharma, workman stated that he had agreed to withdraw the demand leading to this reference for a consideration of Rs 3,500 and that he shall have no claim against the management in respect of unpaid wages, wages in lieu of earned leave not availed of by him, service compensation, gratuity and unpaid bonus, etc. He gave out that he signed the settlement Ex. 1 after hearing its contents and admitting it to be correct. Shri P. K. Jain, Managing Director of M/S. Sharco Industries (P) Ltd. admitted the statement of the workman as also the settlement Ex. M. 1 as correct and gave out that the settlement was duly signed by him.

I, thus, having regard to the settlement Ex. M. 1 brought on record by the parties and admitted by them to be correct and the statements made by them before me direct that the management shall pay a sum Rs 3,500 to the workman as consideration of the withdrawal of the demand leading to this reference by the workman and that on payment of this amount by the former to the latter, the workman shall have no claim against the management in respect of his reinstatement, un-paid wages, wages in lieu of earned leave not availed of by him, service compensation, gratuity and unpaid bonus. I, thus, answer the reference while returning the award in these terms.

Dated 26th June, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 804, dated the 20th June, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 20th June, 1976

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 6774-4Lab-76/19932.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Chhabra Industries Private Limited, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak):—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 21 of 1976

between

Shri Mane Ram, workman and the management of M/s Chhabra Industries Private Limited, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak).

AWARD

By order No. ID/RK/98-A-75/9473, dated 10th March, 1976 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/S Chhabra Industries Private Limited, Bahadurgarh and its workman Shri Mane Ram, was referred to this Court for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of Shri Mane Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Where as the workman put in his appearance in this Court on 5th May, 1976 through his authorised representative Shri R. S. Dahiya, in response to the usual notice of reference sent to him, the management failed to appear on that date despite service of such notice with the result that *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against them and the workman was directed to adduce his *ex parte* evidence on 29th June, 1976.

Shri R. S. Dahiya, authorised representative for the workman made his statement on 29th June, 1976 withdrawing the demand on the ground that the management had taken the workman on duty.

It would, thus, appear from the statement of Shri R. S. Dahiya, authorised representative for the workman, as referred to above, that the demand raised by the workman on the management leading to this reference has since been satisfied that now there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication.

I, hold, accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in these terms.

Dated the 30th June, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1584, dated the 2nd July, 1976

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 6775-4Lab-76/19936. —In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Chhabra Industries, Private Limited, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak).

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 22 of 1976

between

SHRI OM PARKASH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S CHHABRA INDUSTRIES
PRIVATE LIMITED, BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

AWARD

By order No. ID/RK/98-A-75/9466, dated the 10th March, 1976 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s. Chhabra Industries Private Limited, Bahadurgarh and its workman Shri Om Parkash, was referred to this Court for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Whereas the workman put in his appearance in this court on 5th May, 1976, the date of hearing fixed in the case, through Shri R. S. Dahiya, his authorised representative, in response to the usual notice of reference sent to him, the management failed to appear on that date despite service of such notice with the result that *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against them on that date and the workman was directed to adduce his *ex parte* evidence on 29th June, 1976.

The workman appeared as his own witness on 19th June, 1976 and disposed that his services had been terminated by the management on 1st December, 1975 without assigning any reason and without holding an enquiry and that he was drawing Rs. 450 per mensem as his wages as a moulder on that date.

I see no reason to disbelieve the statement of Shri Om Parkash particularly when the proceedings against the management are *ex parte* and they have taken no case to defend the demand raised on them by the workman leading to this reference.

I, therefore, relying on the statement of the workman hold that the termination of services of the workman is unjustified and that he is entitled to reinstatement on the job of a moulder held by him on wages of Rs. 450 with effect from 1st December, 1975, with continuity of service and full back wages.

I, thus, answer the reference while returning the award in these terms.

Dated the 30th June, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1585, dated 2nd July, 1976.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

P. P. CAPRIHAN,
Commissioner and Secy.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

The 20th July, 1976

No. 2935-AR(5)-76/21997(-).—In the Schedule to the Haryana Government, Revenue Department Notification No. 2618-AR-(5)-76/19063, dated the 17th June, 1976, against Serial No. 133, for the words "Shri Hawa Singh, V. Joshi (Advocate Panipat, District Karnal)" read the words "Shri Hawa Singh, Director, P.L.M.B. and Cashier D.C.C. of V. Joshi, P. O. Nara, Tehsil Panipat".

The 21st July, 1976

No. 3142-AR(LA)-76/22097. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (viii) of rule 2 of the Haryana Ceiling on Land Holdings Rules, 1973, and in supersession of Haryana Government notification No. 4170-AR-(LA)-73/35449, dated 30th November, 1973/3rd December, 1973, the Governor of Haryana hereby appoints Shri H. L. Gugnani, I. A. S., Deputy Secretary to Government Haryana, Rehabilitation Department as Special Collector, with headquarters at Chandigarh, to perform the functions assigned to the Special Collector under the said rules.

S. D. BHAMBRI, Secy.

राज्य सरकार

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 21 जुलाई, 1976

क्रमांक 702-ज(I)-76/22182.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जिसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उस में आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3 (1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करने हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री मुलनान सिंह, पुत्र श्री मांवल राम, गांव रद्दीड़ी, तहसील दादरी, जिला भिवानी, को रसी, 1973 से 150 ह० बाविक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दो गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 936-ज(I)-76/22180.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जिसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उस में आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1) तथा 3 (1) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करने हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्रीमती कमलणी देवी, विधवा श्री प्रभात, गांव कवाली, तहसील दिवाड़ी जिला महेंद्रगढ़, को खरीफ, 1970 से 150 ह० बाविक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दो गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।